

Chicago Police Department**Acknowledge Notification**

Log No.: **1049980** Type.: **CR** Name: **[REDACTED]**
Investigator: **STEHLIK, JOSEPH**

Employee No. **[REDACTED]** Star No. **[REDACTED]**

Notification of Charges/Allegations**ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS (Statutory)**

Your appearance is required at:

Internal Affairs Division

3510 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVE

(312) 745-6310

Investigator: STEHLIK, JOSEPH

The law provides that you are to be advised of the following:

1. Any admission or statement made by you in the course of this hearing, interrogation or examination may be used as the basis for your suspension or as the basis for charges seeking your removal or discharge or suspension in excess of 30 days.
2. You have the right to counsel of your choosing to be present with you to advise you at this hearing, interrogation or examination and you may consult with him as you desire.
3. You have a right to be given a reasonable time to obtain counsel of your own choosing.
4. You have no right to remain silent. You have an obligation to truthfully answer questions put to you. You are advised that your statements or responses constitute an official police report.
5. If you refuse to answer questions put to you, you will be ordered by a superior officer to answer the questions.
6. If you persist in your refusal after the order has been given to you, you are advised that such refusal constitutes a violation of the Rules and Regulations of the Chicago Police Department and will serve as a basis for which

your discharge will be sought.

7. You are further advised that by law any admission or statement made by you during the course of this hearing, interrogation or examination and the fruits hereof cannot be used against you in a subsequent criminal proceeding.

The law provides that if you are to be charged with a criminal offense or if your separation from the Department will be sought, you are to be advised in writing of the specific illegal or improper acts alleged against or attributed

to you.

Furthermore, Department policy provides that you have a right to be advised in writing of the names of reporting parties and allegations against you prior to any questioning of you concerning the allegation regardless of the nature of the

allegation and even if the allegation is such that it will not result in the filing of criminal charges or the filing of separation charges.

Accordingly, you are hereby advised that the following allegations have been attributed to you:

CHARGES/ALLEGATIONS

For questions regarding this communication, contact the investigator at (312) 745-6310.

Reporting Parties

Name	Star No.	Emp No.	Unit No.	Position	Sex	Race
BAGLIERI, CARMELO	2178		008 / -	SERGEANT OF POLICE	MALE	WHITE

Allegation(s)

Sequence No.	Allegations	Last Updated
1	It is alleged by the complainant that the accused, P.O. [REDACTED] of Unit 008 was placed under arrest on 12 Nov 2011 at approximately 2230hrs in Michigan City, Indiana for the charge of Disorderly Conduct.	10-JAN-2012
2	It is alleged by the complainant that the accused, P.O. [REDACTED] of Unit 008 was placed under arrest on 12 Nov 2011 at approximately 2230hrs in Michigan City, Indiana for the charge of Public Intoxication.	10-JAN-2012

Incident Information

Incident Date/Time ▲	Address Of Incident
12-NOV-2011 00:00 - 12-NOV-2011 23:59	, IN

Attachments

No records found.

Acknowledge Notification of Charges/Allegations

The undersigned hereby acknowledges that he was informed of the rights listed above and acknowledges receipt in writing of the charges or allegations against him.

[]

Comments

[]

Waiver of Counsel/Request to Secure Counsel

[]

Status History

Resulting Status	Status Date/Time	Created By	Position	Assigned/ Detailed Unit	Comments
VIEWED	10-FEB-2012 10:00		POLICE OFFICER	008 / -	-
VIEWED	10-FEB-2012 10:00		POLICE OFFICER	008 / -	-
PENDING ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT	10-FEB-2012 09:59		SERGEANT OF POLICE	121 / -	-
ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGED ALLEGATIONS	06-FEB-2012 13:26		POLICE OFFICER	008 / -	ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS RIGHTS (Statutory). REQUEST TO SECURE LEGAL COUNSEL.
VIEWED	06-FEB-2012 13:22		POLICE OFFICER	008 / -	-
PENDING ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT	01-FEB-2012 21:06	STEHLIK, JOSEPH	SERGEANT OF POLICE	121 / -	-
PENDING ACCUSED ACKNOWLEDGMENT	10-JAN-2012 07:59	STEHLIK, JOSEPH	SERGEANT OF POLICE	121 / -	-

User:

Bureau of Internal Affairs
Investigations Division
General Investigations Section

10 Feb 2012
LOG#1049980

This is the accused statement of P.O. [REDACTED], relative to an allegation that on 12 Nov 2011 at approximately 2220hrs, at Michigan Boulevard near Johnson Road in Michigan City, Indiana, the accused was placed under arrest by Trooper R. HOVARTER PE#8497, for one count of Disorderly Conduct and one count of Public Intoxication both misdemeanors.

Statement taken at the Bureau of Internal Affairs 3510 South Michigan, Chicago, Illinois.

Date and Time: 10 Feb 2012 / 1005hrs
Questioned by: Sergeant Joseph Stehlik #1945
Typed by: Sergeant Joseph Stehlik #1945
Present: Dan HERBERT FOP Attorney

STEHLIK: What is your full name, rank and star number?
[REDACTED] Police officer 15026


STEHLIK: What is your current Unit of assignment?
[REDACTED] 008

STEHLIK: What is your date of appointment to the Department
and your employee number?
[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

STEHLIK: What is your age and date of birth?
[REDACTED]

STEHLIK: Are you aware that this statement has the standing of
an official Department report and that any intentional
falsifications of any answer to any question would be in
direct violation of Department Rules and Regulations?
[REDACTED] Yes

STEHLIK: Further that Rule 14 of the Chicago Police Department's
Rules and Regulations prohibits making a false report,
written or oral. You may be separated from the



Department if you make false report. Do you understand this?

Yes

STEHLIK:

Are you ready to give your statement at this time?
Yes. This statement is not being given voluntarily, but under duress. I am only giving this statement at this time because I know that I will lose my job if I refuse. This statement should not be considered a verbatim statement, but only a summary of requested information.

STEHLIK:

Did you work a tour of duty on 12 Nov 2011?

No

STEHLIK:

What day off group were you in on 12 Nov 2011?

63

STEHLIK:

What was your unit of assignment on 12 Nov 2011?

008

STEHLIK:

Did you travel to Michigan City, Indiana on 12 Nov 2011?

Yes

STEHLIK:

What time did you go to Michigan City, Indiana?

Approximately 1300hrs.

STEHLIK:

What was the reason that you went to Michigan City, Indiana on 12 Nov 2011?

To celebrate an anniversary.

STEHLIK:

Did you go alone or with someone else?

With someone else.

STEHLIK:

Who did you travel to Michigan City Indiana with?

My boyfriend

STEHLIK:

What did you do while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?

We stopped at a winery and had dinner and then went to a casino.

STEHLIK:

Did you drink any alcoholic beverages while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?

Yes



STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

What type of alcoholic beverages did you drink while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?
Wine

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

How many alcoholic beverages did you have while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?
A glass of wine with dinner to the best of my recollection.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Were you driving any type of vehicle while in Michigan City, Indiana?
No I was not.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Who was driving while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?
[REDACTED] the person I was with.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

What type of vehicle was he driving while you were in Michigan City, Indiana?
A Saturn.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Was the vehicle that you were a passenger inside of pulled over by an Indiana State Trooper at approximately Michigan Boulevard near Johnson Road in Michigan City, Indiana at approximately 2220hrs on 12 Nov 2011?
Yes

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Do you know what that vehicle was pulled over for?
The Trooper stated that the driver was not wearing a seatbelt.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Was this vehicle stopped because the trooper thought that the driver was operating the vehicle while intoxicated?
As far as I know no. When he approached the car he informed him that it was about the seatbelt thing.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

During the course of the trooper's investigation did you exit the front passenger seat several times and begin yelling at Trooper HOVARTER?
No I never yelled at him.

STEHLIK:

[REDACTED]

Did Trooper HOVARTER instruct you to stay seated inside of the Black Saturn vehicle?
No



STEHLIK: Did you ever identify yourself to the Indiana State Trooper by giving him your name?
[REDACTED] Yes

STEHLIK: While this trooper was conducting his investigation, did an officer from the Laporte City Police Department arrive on the scene?
[REDACTED] I know another officer arrived but I am not sure what Department he was from.

STEHLIK: Did you then again exit the vehicle and state to Trooper HOVARTER that what he was doing was "bullshit"?
[REDACTED] I never made that comment.

STEHLIK: Did Trooper HOVARTER then tell you that if you continued to make noise and not cooperate that you would be arrested?
[REDACTED] To the best of my knowledge, ~~he~~ *he* never made that statement. *200*

STEHLIK: After Trooper HOVARTER had stated something to that effect, did you then identify yourself to him as a Chicago Police Officer?
[REDACTED] I identified myself to him previously as a Chicago Police Officer when we were initially approached by the trooper.

STEHLIK: Did Trooper HOVARTER inform you a second time to get back inside of the vehicle and he would explain everything to you when he was finished?
[REDACTED] Not that I recall.

STEHLIK: Did Trooper HOVARTER state to you that you were interfering with his investigation?
[REDACTED] Not that I recall.

STEHLIK: Was the driver of the vehicle that you were a passenger in placed in handcuffs and arrested?
[REDACTED] Yes

STEHLIK: Do you know what the driver was arrested for?
[REDACTED] I later found out that he was charged with OWI/DUI.

STEHLIK: When he was placed under arrest did you again exit the vehicle and begin yelling at Trooper HOVARTER and state to him that this is "bullshit"?
[REDACTED] I never made that statement.

Se

JS

STEHLIK:

Did you also state to Trooper HOVARTER that he "didn't have any courtesy for law enforcement"? I don't remember if I made that statement but I thought that he was being disrespectful towards me and [REDACTED]

STEHLIK:

Were you then placed in handcuffs by Officer BLAKE from the Laporte City Police Department and arrested? Yes

STEHLIK:

What were you placed under arrest for? I later found out it was Disorderly Conduct. And I found out about the second charge when I was released.

STEHLIK:

Where were you transported to? Laporte County jail facility.

STEHLIK:

Were you able to make bond for this arrest? Yes

STEHLIK:

Were you given a court date? Yes

STEHLIK:

What was your original court date? To the best of my knowledge the first court appearance was 30 Nov 2011.

STEHLIK:

What is the current status of your court case? The public intoxication charge was dismissed on 31 Jan 2012 and I was given a six month court diversion for the Disorderly Conduct Charge which will expire on 31 Jul 2012.

STEHLIK:

Is there anything else relevant to this investigation that you wish to add to this statement? I regret that this incident occurred. I am cognizant of the fact that I am a Chicago Police Officer and that my actions will always be scrutinized. However I do not believe that I acted inappropriate in this case. The police officer acted rude and unprofessional toward my boyfriend and I and when I told the officer that he became upset and placed me under arrest. I never pled guilty to any of the allegations placed against me. I accepted an offer which would dismiss one case completely and dismiss the second charge in six months. I look forward to moving on from this case and continuing to serve the Chicago Police Department admirably as I have done for the past five plus years.

STEHLIK:

After reading this statement, consisting of six pages and finding it to contain accurately the questions asked and responses that you have given, will you sign it?

[REDACTED]
Yes

STATEMENT CONCLUDED AT 1030 HOURS

WITNESSED BY:

Joseph Stehlik #1945
Sgt. Joseph STEHLIK #1945